Puma - A Generator for the Transformation of Attributed Trees

J. Grosch

hhh

hhh

hhh

hhh GESELLSCHAFT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND DATENVERARBEITUNG MBH

> FORSCHUNGSSTELLE FÜR PROGRAMMSTRUKTUREN AN DER UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE

# Project

# **Compiler Generation**

# **Puma - A Generator for the Transformation of Attributed Trees**

hhh

Josef Grosch

Nov. 22, 1991

hhh

Report No. 26

Copyright © 1991 GMD

Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung mbH Forschungsstelle an der Universität Karlsruhe Vincenz-Prießnitz-Str. 1 D-7500 Karlsruhe

#### **Puma - A Generator for the Transformation of Attributed Trees**

#### **1. Introduction**

*Puma* is a tool supporting the transformation and manipulation of attributed trees. It is based on pattern-matching, unification, and recursion. *Puma* cooperates with the generator for abstract syntax trees *ast* [Gro91], which already supports the definition, creation, and storage of attributed trees. *Puma* adds a concise notation for the analysis and synthesis of trees. The pattern-matching capability facilitates the specification of decision tables. *Puma* provides the implicit declaration of variables, strong type checking with respect to trees, and checks the single assignment restriction for variables. The output is the source code of a program module written in one of the target languages C or Modula-2. This module implements the specified transformation routines. It can be integrated easily with arbitrary program code. The generated routines are optimized with respect to common subexpression elimination and tail recursion.

The intended use of this tool proceeds in three steps: First, a tree is constructed either by a parser, a previous transformation phase, or whatever is appropriate. Second, the attributes in the tree are evaluated either using an attribute grammar based tool, by a *puma* specified tree traversal and attribute computations, or by hand-written code. Third, the attributed tree is transformed or mapped to another data structure by a *puma* generated transformation module. These steps can be executed one after the other or more or less simultaneously. Besides trees, *puma* can handle attributed graphs as well, even cyclic ones. Of course the cycles have to be detected in order to avoid infinite loops. A possible solution uses attributes as marks for nodes already visited.

A transformer module can make use of attributes in the following ways: If attribute values have been computed by a preceding attribute evaluator and are accessed in read only mode then this corresponds to the three step model explained above. A *puma* generated module can also evaluate attributes on its own. A further possibility is that an attribute evaluator can call *puma* subroutines in order to compute attributes. This is especially of interest when attributes depend on tree-valued arguments.

The tool supports two classes of tree transformations: *mappings* and *modifications*. Tree mappings map an input tree to arbitrary output data. The input tree is accessed in read only mode and left unchanged. Tree *modifications* change a tree by e. g. computing and storing attributes at tree nodes or by changing the tree structure. In this case the tree data structure serves as input as well as output and it is accessed in read and write mode.

The first class covers applications like the generation of intermediate languages or machine code. Trees are mapped to arbitrary output like source code, assembly code, binary machine code, linearized intermediate languages like P-Code, or another tree structure. A further variant of mapping is to emit a sequence of procedure calls which are handled by an abstract data type.

The second class covers applications like semantic analysis or optimization. Trees are decorated with attribute values, properties of the trees corresponding to context conditions are checked, or trees are changed in order to reflect optimizing transformations.

The contents of this manual is organized as follows: Section 2 gives an overview and describes the cooperation of *puma* and *ast*. Section 3 describes the specification language of *puma*. Section 4 describes the output of *puma*. Section 5 contains the UNIX manual page. Appendix 1 contains the syntax summary. Appendix 2 presents an example from a compiler for MiniLAX. Appendix 3 lists the type specific equality operations for the target languages C and Modula-2.

#### **2. Overview**

The input of a transformer is a tree which might be decorated with attributes. The structure of the legal input trees and the desired transformation are described in two separate documents.



Fig. 1: Cooperation of *puma* and *ast*

Both documents are processed by the separate tools *ast* and *puma*. The cooperation between those tools is depicted in Figure 1. The structure of the trees including their attributes is described by a tree grammar and is fed into *ast*. *Ast* produces the source code of a module that defines, stores, and manipulates the specified tree and an internal description of the tree in the file *Tree.TS*. This file and the description of the intended transformation are the input of *puma*. *Puma* generates a module that implements the specified transformation by a set of subprograms which use the tree module produced by *ast*. The two generated modules, which are named *Tree* and *Trafo* by default, consist of two files: The header, interface, or definition part and the implementation part. Both modules must be compiled and linked, eventually with other modules, to yield an executable program.

For the following we assume the reader to be familiar with the tool *ast*. *Ast*'s input language is used to define the node types, the subtype relation between the node types, and the children and attributes of the node types including their data types. This input language is described in the *ast* user manual [Gro91].

#### **3. Input Language**

The following sections define the syntax and the semantics of a *puma* specification. Appendix 1 contains a summary of the precise syntax of the input language in BNF notation.

#### **3.1. Notation**

An EBNF notation is used in the following to describe the syntax of a *puma* specification. The meaning of the meta symbols is as follows:



# all upper-case word terminal symbol other word nonterminal symbol

## **3.2. Lexical Conventions**

The input of *puma* consists of identifiers, numbers, keywords, operators, delimiters, comments, white space, and so called target code.

Identifiers are sequences of letters, digits, and underscore characters \_ that start with a letter or an underscore character . The case of the letters is significant:

x NoName k2 mouse button

Numbers comprise integers and reals in decimal notation. They are written as in the target language:

0 007 1991 31.4E-1

The following words are reserved as keywords and may not be used as identifiers:



Operators are either symbols from the following list or sequences of characters introduced by a backslash \ and terminated by white space. Escaped operators are used for operators not known to *puma*. They are written to the output with the backslash \ removed.



Examples of escaped operators:

 $\langle , \rangle$  \:  $\setminus$  (void)  $\setminus$  (int\*)  $\setminus$  (struct \node)

The following characters are delimiters:

( ) , . .. ... : := :- ; => ? [ ]  $($  }

The delimiters ... and ... can be used alternatively, as can be ? and :-. Comments are characters enclosed in /\* and \*/ as in C. They may not be nested:

/\* comment \*/

Target code are declarations, statements, or expressions written in the target language and enclosed in curly brackets  $\{\}$ . Target code may contain curly brackets  $\{\}$  as long as these are either properly nested or contained in strings or in character constants. Unnested curly brackets outside of strings or character constants have to be escaped by a backslash character \. In general

all characters outside of strings or character constants may be escaped by a backslash character \. This escape mechanism is not necessary in strings and character constants. Target code is usually copied unchecked and unchanged to the output.

 $\{ x = 1; \}$ { { char c = '}'; } } { printf  $("}\n$ "); }

White space characters like blanks, tab characters, form feeds, and return characters are ignored.

#### **3.3. Structure**

The input of *puma* consists of a header, target code sections, and a list of subroutines.

```
Syntax:
```

```
Input = [ TRAFO Ident ] [ TREE Idents ] [ PUBLIC Idents ] [ EXTERN Idents ]
         { TargetCodes } { Subroutine }
Idents = Ident { , Ident }
```
The identifier behind the keyword TRAFO determines the name of the generated module. The default name is *Trafo*.

The identifiers behind the keyword TREE refer to the tree modules to be manipulated. A *puma* module can not only handle one tree definition but an arbitrary number. There must be a tree grammar for every tree and they all must have been converted to their internal format with *ast*. More precisely, those names refer to so-called *views* of a tree definition. Roughly speaking, a view selects a subset of a tree definition. See the documentaion of *ast* for a description of this concept. If the keyword TREE is missing then the following serves as default:

TREE Tree

Therefore an empty list of tree definitions has to be given as:

TREE

The identifiers behind the keyword PUBLIC specify those subroutines that should become visible from outside the module. External declarations for these subroutines are inserted automatically in the interface part of the generated module.

The identifiers behind the keyword EXTERN specify those identifiers of global, local, or external variables and subroutines that are used in some subroutines but that are not declared from the point of view of *puma*. They may be used in expressions and statements that are checked by the tool without causing a message.

Example:

TRAFO ICode TREE Tree Definitions PUBLIC Code EXTERN ADD CHK ENT Emit

#### **3.4. Target Code**

A *puma* specification may contain several sections containing *target code*. Target code is code written in the target language. It is copied unchecked and unchanged to certain places in the generated module.

Syntax:

```
TargetCodes =
 EXPORT TargetCode
 | GLOBAL TargetCode
| BEGIN TargetCode
| CLOSE TargetCode
```
The meaning of the different sections is as follows:

EXPORT: declarations to be included in the interface part. GLOBAL: declarations to be included in the implementation part at global level. BEGIN: statements to initialize the declared data structures.

CLOSE: statements to finalize the declared data structures.

Example in C:

```
EXPORT { typedef int MyType; extern MyType Sum; }
GLOBAL {# include "Idents.h"
        MyType Sum; }
BEGIN \{ \text{Sum} = 0; \}CLOSE { printf ("%d", Sum); }
```
Example in Modula-2:

```
EXPORT { TYPE MyType = INTEGER; VAR Sum: MyType; }
GLOBAL { FROM Idents IMPORT tIdent; }
BEGIN { Sum := 0: }
CLOSE { WriteI (Sum, 0); }
```
#### **3.5. Subroutines**

A set of subroutines constitutes the main building blocks of a transformation. Like in programming languages, subroutines are parameterized abstractions of statements or expressions. There are three kinds of subroutines:

```
procedure : a subroutine acting as a statement
function : a subroutine acting as an expression and returning a value
predicate : a boolean function
```
Syntax:

```
Subroutine = Header [ EXTERN Idents ; ] [ LOCAL TargetCode ] { Rule }
Header =
 PROCEDURE Ident ( [ Parameters ] [ => Parameters ] )
 | FUNCTION Ident ( [ Parameters ] [ => Parameters ] ) Type
| PREDICATE Ident ( [ Parameters ] [ => Parameters ] )
Parameters = [ REF ] [ Ident : ] Type { , [ REF ] [ Ident : ] Type }
```
A subroutine consists of a header, an optional target code section, and a sequence of rules. The header specifies the kind of the subroutine, its name, and its parameters. In case of a function, the type of the result value is added. This type is restricted to types legal for function results in the target language (usually simple types and pointers). Input and output parameters are separated by the symbol =>. It suffices to give the type of a parameter. A name for the formal parameter is optional. Usually input parameters are passed by value and output parameters are passed by reference. The keyword REF can be used to pass input parameters by reference, too. This might be necessary in case of tree modifications when an input tree is replaced by a newly created one. The identifiers behind the keyword EXTERN specify those identifiers of global, local, or external variables and subroutines that are used within the subroutine but that are not

declared from the point of view of *puma*. They may be used in expressions and statements that are checked by the tool without causing a message. The target code section is copied in front of the body of the generated subprogram and may e. g. contain local declarations.

#### Examples:

PROCEDURE Code (t: Tree) LOCAL { tObjects object; } ... PREDICATE IsCompatible (Type, Type) ... FUNCTION ResultType (Type, Type, int) Type ... PROCEDURE ResultType (Type, Type, int => Type)  $\ldots$ 

#### **3.6. Types**

Types are either predefined in the target language like *int* and *INTEGER*, or user-defined like *MyType*, or they are tree types like *Expr*. A tree type is described by the name of a tree definition, a single node type, or a list of node types enclosed in brackets [ ]. In case of ambiguities the latter two kinds may be qualified by preceding the name of the tree definition. In every case a tree-type defines a set of legal node types. The name of a tree definition refers to every node type that is defined there. A single node type yields a set with just this one element and a list of node types yields the union of all list elements.

Syntax:

```
Type
 | TreeType
| UserType
TreeType =
 | Ident
 [ Ident . ] Ident
| [ Ident . ] '[' Idents ']'
UserType = Ident
Examples:
int /* predefined type */MyType \frac{1}{x} user defined type \frac{x}{1-x}Tree \frac{1}{x} /* tree type \frac{1}{x} /*
Expr\hspace{0.1cm} /* node type \hspace{0.1cm} */
Tree.Expr <br>
/* qualified node type \frac{1}{2}<br>
/* set of node types */<br>
The state of node types */
                        \frac{1}{x} set of node types \frac{1}{x} /
Tree.[Stats, Expr] /* qualified set of node types */
```
#### **3.7. Rules**

A rule behaves like a branch in a case or switch statement. It consists of a list of patterns (nonterminal Patterns), a list of expressions, a return expression in case of a function, and a list of statements. Several neighbouring rules with the same list of expressions, return expression, and list of statements may share those parts. A list of a list of patterns (nonterminal PatternList) is equivalent to a sequence of rules having the sublists as patterns and sharing the other parts. Patterns and expressions may be either positional or named. The named entities have to follow the positional ones. For every position of a pattern or an expression at most one entity may be given. The named elements are transformed into their positional form before type checking is performed. The parts of a rule may be given in almost any order as described by the exact syntax in Appendix 1.

The number of patterns must agree with the number of input parameters, and the types of the elements of those lists must be pairwise compatible. The number of expressions must agree with the number of output parameters, and the types of the elements of those lists must be

pairwise compatible. The type of the expression after RETURN has to be compatible with the result type of a function. The type s of a pattern or an expression is said to be compatible to the type t of a formal parameter if s is a subtype of t (s  $\subseteq$  t).

```
Syntax:
Rule = [ PatternList ] [ => Exprs ] [ RETURN Expr ] ? { Statement ; } .
PatternList = Patterns { ; Patterns }
Patterns =
 Pattern { , Pattern } { , Ident := Pattern }
| Ident := Pattern \{ ,\ Ident := Pattern }
Exprs =
 | Expr { , Expr } { , Ident := Expr }
| Ident := Expr { , Ident := Expr }
```
The semantics of a rule is as follows: A rule may succeed or fail. It succeeds if all its patterns, statements, and expressions succeed - otherwise it fails. The patterns, statements, and expressions are checked for success in the following order: First, the patterns are checked from left to right. A pattern succeeds if it matches its corresponding input parameter as described below. Second, the statements are executed in sequence as long as they succeed. The success of statements is defined below. Third, the expressions are evaluated from left to right and their results are passed to the corresponding output parameters. In case of a function, additionally the expression after RETURN is evaluated and its result is returned as value of the function call. The success of expressions is defined below, too. If all elements of a rule succeed then the rule succeeds and the subroutine returns. If one element of a rule fails the process described above stops and causes the rule to fail. Then the next rule is tried. This search process continues until either a successful rule is found or the end of the list is reached. In the latter case the behaviour depends on the kind of the subroutine:

A procedure signals a runtime error if option 'f' is set, otherwise it does nothing.

A predicate returns false.

A function signals a runtime error.

There is one exception to this definition of the semantics which is explained later. Note, if a predicate fails then the values of its output parameters are undefined.

Examples:

```
PROCEDURE Code (t: Tree)
   Plus (Lop, Rop) ? Code (Lop); Code (Rop); Emit (ADD); .
   Minus (Lop, Rop) ? Code (Lop); Code (Rop); Emit (SUB); .
    ...
PREDICATE IsCompatible (Type, Type)
   Integer , Integer ?.<br>Real , Real ?.
    Real , Real \overline{?}.Boolean , Boolean ?.
   Array (t1, Lwb, Upb, ), Array (t2, Lwb, Upb, ) ? IsCompatible (t1, t2); .
FUNCTION ResultType (Type, Type, int) Type
   The series are the content of the pair of the series o
   Real , Real , { Plus } RETURN Real ?.<br>
Integer , Integer , { Times } RETURN Integer ?.
   Integer , Integer , { Times } RETURN Integer ?.<br>Real , Real , { Times } RETURN Real ?.
   Real , Real , { Times } RETURN Real ?.<br>
Integer , Integer , { Less } RETURN Boolean ?.
    Integer , Integer , { Less } RETURN Boolean ?.<br>Real , Real , { Less } RETURN Boolean ?.
   Real , Real , { Less } RETURN Boolean ?.
```
## **3.8. Patterns**

A pattern describes the shape at the top or root of a subtree. A pattern can be a decomposition of a tree, the keyword NIL, a label or a variable, one of the don't care symbols \_ or .., or an expression. A decomposition is written as a node type followed by a list of patterns in parenthesis ( and ). Optionally, the node type may be qualified by a tree name and preceded by a label.

Syntax:

```
Pattern =
  | [ Label ] [ Ident . ] Ident [ ( [ Patterns ] ) ]
  | [ Label ] NIL
  | Ident
  \overline{\phantom{0}}| ..
| Expr
L = L| Ident :
| Ident :>
```
The match between a pattern and a value is defined recursively depending on the kind of the pattern:

- A decomposition with a node type t matches a tree u with a root node of type s if s is a subtype of t (s  $\subseteq$  t) and all subpatterns of t match their corresponding subtrees or attributes of u. If the node type is preceded by a label l then a binding is established between l and u which defines the label l to refer to the tree u. If the label l is followed by a colon : then l has the type of u. If the label l is followed by the symbol : $>$  then l has the type that is legal at this location. This is either the type of a parameter or the type of a node type's child.
- The pattern NIL matches the values NoTree or NIL. If NIL is preceded by a label l then a binding is established between l and the parameter or child matching NIL. l has the type that is legal at this location. This is either the type of a parameter or the type of a node type's child.
- The first occurrence of a label l in a rule matches an arbitrary subtree or attribute value u. A binding is established between l and u which defines the label l to refer to the value u. The label can be used later to access the associated value. All further occurrences of the label l within patterns of this rule match a subtree or an attribute value v only if u is equal to v. The equality for trees is defined in the sense of structural equivalence. Two attributes are equal if they have the same values. This so-called non-linear pattern matching has to be enabled by an option. Without this option all further occurrences of a label l are treated as error.
- The don't care symbol matches one arbitrary subtree or attribute value.
- The don't care symbol .. matches any number of arbitrary subtrees or attribute values.
- An expression matches a parameter or an attribute if both have the same value. The equality of values is defined as a type specific operation (see section 3.11.).

The ambiguity between a node type without a list of patterns in parentheses and a label is resolved in favor of the node type, by default. A node type t without a list of subpatterns is treated as t (..). *Puma* has an option that disables this behaviour. Then all node types require parentheses, otherwise they are considered as labels.

```
Examples:
```

```
Binary \frac{1}{x} a node type \frac{x}{x}Tree.Binary
Binary (Lop, Rop, Operator)
a:Binary (_, b:>Binary (Lop, ..), Operator)
                                                 /* a, b, Lop, and Operator are labels *//* a is of type Binary */
                                                 /* b is of type Expr */NIL
X \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}k + 2
{ Times } /* a named constant */
```
## **3.9. Expressions**

Expressions denote the computation of values or the construction of trees. Binary and unary operations as well as calls of external functions are written as in the target language. Calls of *puma* functions and predicates distinguish between input and output arguments. Named arguments are not allowed in calls. The syntax for tree composition is similar to the syntax of patterns. Again, the node type may be qualified by a tree name.

Syntax:

```
Expr =| [ Ident . ] Ident [ ( [ Exprs ] ) ]
  NTL| Ident
  \overline{\phantom{0}}| ..
  Expr ( [ Exprs ] [ => Patterns ] )
  | Expr Operator Expr
  | Operator Expr
  Expr Operator
  | Expr [ Exprs ]
  | ( Expr )
  | Number
  String
  | TargetCode
 | Ident :: Ident
```
The semantics of the different kinds of expressions is as follows:

- A node type creates a tree node and provides the children and attributes of this node with the values given in parenthesis. Again a missing list in parentheses is treated as  $($ ..).
- NIL represents the value NoTree or NIL.
- A label refers to the expression it was bound to upon its definition.
- A function or predicate call must be compatible with the corresponding definition in terms of the numbers of expressions and patterns as well as their types. A function call evaluates the expressions corresponding to input parameters, passes the results to the function, and executes the function. Upon return from the function the result value of the function determines the result of this expression. The values of the output parameters that the function returns are matched against the actual patterns of the function call. If one pair does not match the call fails. Labels in the patterns may establish bindings that enable to refer to the output parameters or subtrees thereof.
- The don't care symbols specify that no computation should be executed, either for one or for several expressions. The result values are undefined.

- The most common binary and unary operators (prefix and postfix) of the target language as well as array indexing and parentheses are known to *puma*. They are passed unchanged to the output.
- A target code expression, a number, or a string is evaluated as in the target language.
- The construct Ident :: Ident can be used to refer to children or attributes that are not matched by a label. This can be of interest because of notational brevity or because matching is impossible. The reason for the latter case can arise when a subset of a tree definition is presented to *puma* using the concept of views. The first identifier is a label that is bound to a tree (node). The second identifier is the name of a child or of an attribute of this node type.

In case of node types, labels for tree values, and functions returning tree values, *puma* does type checking. For user types, target code expressions or target operators no type checking is done by *puma* but (hopefully) later by the compiler. An expression that does not contain calls of *puma* functions or predicates always succeeds. An expression containing those calls succeeds if all the calls succeed − otherwise it fails.

Examples:

```
Binary \frac{1}{x} a node composition \frac{x}{x}Tree.Binary \frac{x}{2} /* a node composition */<br>Binary (X, Y, Z) /* a node composition */
                                \frac{1}{x} a node composition */
NTT.
X
ResultType (t1, t2) \frac{1}{x} a function call \frac{x}{x}_
k + 2
- k
k ++
a [x]
({ Times }) / / a named constant */3.14
"abc"
```
#### **3.10. Statements**

Statements are used to describe conditions, to perform output, to assign values to attributes, and to control the execution of the transformer via recursive subroutine calls. A statement is either a condition denoted by an expression, a call of a procedure, an assignment, one of the keywords REJECT or FAIL, a String or the keyword NL, a target code statement, or declarations of variables. Named arguments are not allowed in calls. Every kind of statement may succeed or fail as described below.

Syntax:

```
Statement =
 | Expr
 Expr ( [ Exprs ] [ => Patterns ] )
 | Expr := Expr
 | REJECT
 | FAIL
 String
 NT.
 | TargetCode
| Declarations
Declarations = Ident : Type { , Ident : Type }
```
There are some syntactic ambiguities: Target code in curly brackets { } is considered as target code statement instead of as target code expression. To obtain the latter meaning the expression should be enclosed in parentheses ( ). Subroutine calls are treated according to their declaration: Predicates and functions are treated as conditions, procedures and external subroutines are treated as procedure calls. If external subroutines should be considered as expressions, the call should be enclosed in parentheses ( ), too. A string is considered as a special kind of statement instead of as a normal expression.

- Conditions are denoted by expressions and can be used to determine properties that can not be expressed with pattern matching alone. Patterns describe either shapes of a fixed size of a tree or the equality between two values. Properties of trees of unlimited size and relations like  $\leq$ ,  $\leq$  etc. have to be checked with conditions. The expression has to be of type boolean or the call of a predicate. A condition succeeds if the expression evaluates to true otherwise it fails.
- For a procedure call the same rules as for a function call apply. It succeeds if the values of all output parameters are matched by the corresponding patterns - otherwise it fails. A call of an undefined subroutine is treated as a call of a procedure that is either defined externally or in the GLOBAL target code section. Such a call is flagged by a warning message.
- An assignment statement evaluates its expression and stores this value at the entity denoted by the identifier on the left-hand side. The identifier can denote

a global or a local variable,

an input or an output parameter, or

a label for an attribute or a subtree.

An assignment statement succeeds if the expression succeeds - otherwise it fails.

- The statement REJECT does nothing but fail. This way the execution of the current rule terminates and control is passed to the next rule.
- The statement FAIL causes the execution of the current subroutine to terminate. This statement is allowed in procedures and predicates, only. Depending on the kind of subroutine the following happens:

A procedure terminates.

A predicate returns false.

- A string is an output statement that prints this string. (For details see section 3.13.).
- The keyword NL is an output statement that prints a newline character. (For details see section 3.13.).
- A target code statement is executed as in the target language. It can be used for arbitrary actions. In particular it can compute the value of an explicitly declared label (variable) by means of implementation language code or calls of external subroutines. A target code statement always succeeds.
- A declaration explicitly introduces a label or variable. It is similar to a label in a pattern except that its value is undefined. It can be used also for the definition of temporary variables. The user is responsible that all labels receive values either by assignments or by target code statements. Declarations always succeed.

Note, statements and expressions may cause side effects by changing e. g. global variables, local variables, the input tree, or by producing output. Those side effects are not undone when a rule fails.

```
Examples:
```

```
IsCompatible (t1, t2) \qquad /* condition: predicate call \qquad */<br>(IsSimpleTvpe (t)) \qquad /* condition: external call */
(IsSimpleType (t)) \frac{1}{x} /* condition: external call \frac{x}{x} /* condition: expression \frac{x}{x}X < Y /* condition: expression */
({ X < Y }) /* condition: target code expression */
Code (Then) /* procedure call: internal */
printf ("hello") /* procedure call: external */
X := Y\{ X = Y; \}REJECT
FAIL
"hello"
N<sub>L</sub>
{ Code (Then); } /* unchecked internal call */
{ printf ("hello"); } /* unchecked external call */
Z: Expr
\{ Z = mBinary (X, Y, Plus); \}
```
#### **3.11. Equality Operations**

The equality between two trees is defined recursively: Two trees are equal if the node types of the two root nodes are equal and all corresponding subtrees or attributes are equal.

The equality between attribute values is type specific. For every type name a separate equality test is defined. Chosing different type names for one type introduces subtypes and allows to treat attributes of different subtypes differently. The equality tests are defined by a macro mechanism using the C preprocessor *cpp*:

# define equalTYPE(a, b) a == b

TYPE is replaced by the concrete type name. *a* and *b* are formal macro parameters referring to the attributes to be compared.

The equality test for the predefined types of a target language are predefined within *puma* (see Appendix 3). For user-defined types, by default the following equality test is used:

in C:

```
# define equalTYPE(a, b) memcmp ((char *) & a, (char *) & b, sizeof (a)) == 0
in Modula-2:
# define equalTYPE(a, b) yyIsEqual (a, b)
```
Above procedures check values of arbitrary types by comparing the byte sequences.

It is possible to redefine the operations by including new macro definitions in the GLOBAL section. The following example demonstrates the syntax for doing this.

Example in C:

}

```
GLOBAL {
typedef struct { short Line, Column; } tPosition;
# define equaltPosition(a, b) a.Line == b.Line && a.Column == b.Column
}
Example in Modula-2:
GLOBAL {
TYPE tPosition = RECORD Line, Column: SHORTCARD; END;
```
# define equaltPosition(a, b) (a.Line = b.Line) AND (a.Column = b.Column)

#### **3.12. Begin Operations**

Usually, a composition of a node specifies values for the attributes and children. Using dont't care symbols it is possible to omit these values. In this case the attributes and children are initialized by a macro mechanism using the C preprocessor *cpp*:

```
# define beginTYPE(a)
```
TYPE is replaced by the concrete type name. *a* is the formal macro parameter referring to the attribute or children to be initialized.

Initialization for attributes is predefined within *puma* by empty macros. Children are set to NULL or NIL, by default:

```
in C:
# define begintTYPE(a) a = NULL;
in Modula-2:
# define begintTYPE(a) a := NIL;
```
It is possible to redefine the operations by including new macro definitions in the GLOBAL section. The following example demonstrates the syntax for doing this.

```
Example in C:
GLOBAL \{ \# \ define \ equal\} (a) a = 0; }
Example in Modula-2:
GLOBAL \{# define equaltINTEGER(a) a := 0; }
```
#### **3.13. Output Statements**

The two builtin output statements "string" and NL are translated into macro calls:

```
yyWrite ("string");
yyWriteNl;
```
The macros are predefined as follows:

```
in C:
# define yyWrite(s) (void) fputs (s, yyf)
# define yyWriteNl (void) fputc ('\n', yyf)
static FILE * yyf = stdout;
in Modula-2:
# define yyWrite(s) IO.WriteS (yyf, s)
# define yyWriteNl IO.WriteNl (yyf)
VAR yyf: IO.tFile;
yyf := IO.StdOutput;
```
By default the statements print on standard output using the library routines specified in the macro definitions. This behaviour can be changed in two ways: The global variable yyf can be assigned a new value that describes an arbitrary file. The macros can be redefined in the GLO-BAL target code section.

#### **4. Scopes**

Scopes are regions of text which control the meaning of identifiers. A *puma* specification defines three kinds of scopes which are nested in each other:

global scope

A complete *puma* specification defines a global scope. It contains all declarations included in the GLOBAL target code section and all subroutine definitions. The subroutines can be defined in any order.

local scope

Every subroutine definition introduces a local scope. It contains the names of the input and output parameters and the declarations included in a LOCAL target code section.

rule scope

Every rule introduces a rule scope. It contains the labels used in this rule. Labels are declared upon their first occurrence in patterns. They are visible only within a rule. Labels in expressions represent using positions. Labels have to be declared or bound textually before they are used.

For entities other then subroutine names and label names the scope rules of the target language apply.

#### **5. Output**

From a given specification, *puma* generates a program module in one of the target languages C or Modula-2 implementing the desired transformation. The subroutines in the sense of *puma* are mapped to subroutines in the target language. Procedures yield procedures, functions yield functions that return a value, and predicates yield boolean functions. These subroutines can be called from other modules using the usual subroutine call syntax of the target language provided they are exported: All arguments are separated by commas - the symbol  $\Rightarrow$  as separator between input and output arguments is only required in calls processed by *puma*.

The types of the parameters are treated as follows: Predefined types or user defined types remain unchanged. Node types or sets of node types are replaced by the name of the corresponding tree type. This is a pointer to a union of record types. Input parameters are passed by value and output parameters are passed by reference (VAR in Modula-2) by default. Input parameters with the keyword REF are passed by reference, too.

In addition to the exported subroutines, a *puma* generated module exports the subroutines BeginTRAFO and CloseTRAFO, where TRAFO is replaced by the module name. Both subroutines contain the target code sections BEGIN and CLOSE. All target code sections and target code representing expressions or statements are more or less copied unchecked and unchanged to the generated output module. The only change is that in target code representing expressions or statements label identifiers are replaced by access paths to the associated values.

The rules of a subroutine are treated like a comfortable case or switch statement. The code generated for pattern matching is relatively simple. A naive implementation would just use a sequence of if statements. This kind of code showed to be already rather efficient. Possible optimizations are the clever use of switch statements and the elimination of common subexpressions. Furthermore, tail recursion can be turned into iteration. Labels are replaced by access paths to the associated values. The code for the construction of tree nodes is inserted in-line. It is therefore efficient because no procedure calls are necessary for the creation of tree nodes. Moreover, the transformer module independent of the tree module with respect to the presence of procedures to create nodes and the classification of input attributes.

#### **6. Usage**

NAME

puma - a generator for the transformation of attributed trees

**SYNOPSIS** 

puma [-options] [-l dir] [file]

# DESCRIPTION

*puma* is a tool for the transformation of attributed trees which is based on pattern matching and unification. It generates transformers (named *Trafo* by default) that map attributed trees to arbitrary output. As this tool also has to know about the structure of the tree this information is communicated from *ast* to *puma* via a file with the suffix .TS. If *file* is omitted the specification is read from standard input.

# **OPTIONS**

- a generate all, same as -di (default)
- d generate definition module
- i generate implementation module
- s suppress warnings
- m use procedure MakeTREE to construct nodes (default is in-line code)
- p allow node constructors without parentheses
- f signal a runtime error if none of the rules of a procedure matches
- k allow non-linear patterns
- n check parameters for NoTREE (NIL) and treat as failure (tg compatibility)
- w surround actions by WITH statements (tg compatibility)
- e treat undefined names as error
- v treat undefined names as warning
- o list undefined names on standard output
- t print tree definitions
- r print patterns
- q browse internal data structure
- 6 generate # line directives
- 7 touch output files only if necessary
- 8 report storage consumption
- c generate C code (default is Modula-2)
- h print help information

-ldir *dir* is the directory where puma finds its table files

## FILES



J. Grosch: "Puma - A Generator for the Transformation of Attributed Trees", GMD Forschungsstelle an der Universität Karlsruhe, Compiler Generation Report No. 26

J. Grosch: "Transformation of Attributed Trees Using Pattern Matching", GMD Forschungsstelle an der Universität Karlsruhe, Compiler Generation Report No. 27

#### **Appendix 1: Syntax Summary**

```
/* parser grammar */
Trafo = TrafoName TreePart PublicPart ExternPart0 TargetCodes
                    Subroutines .
TrafoName = <= \alpha= TRAFO Name .
> .
TreePart = <
               = .
              = 'TREE' TreeNames .
>.
TreeNames = <
               = \alpha= TreeNames ',' .
               = TreeNames Name .
>.
PublicPart = <= \alpha= PUBLIC Names .
\geqExternPart0 = \lt= .
               = EXTERN Names OptSemiColon .
\geqExternPart = <
               = .
               = EXTERN Names ';' .
>.
Names = <
               = .
               = Names ',' .
               = Names Name .
>.
TargetCodes = <= .
               = TargetCodes 'EXPORT' TargetCode .
               = TargetCodes 'IMPORT' TargetCode .
               = TargetCodes 'GLOBAL' TargetCode .
               = TargetCodes 'BEGIN' TargetCode .
               = TargetCodes 'CLOSE' TargetCode .
>.
Subroutines = <
               = .
               = Subroutines PROCEDURE Name '(' Parameters OutParameters ')'
                    ExternPart LocalCode Rules .
               = Subroutines 'FUNCTION' Name '(' Parameters OutParameters ')'
                    Type ExternPart LocalCode Rules .
               = Subroutines PREDICATE Name '(' Parameters OutParameters ')'
                    ExternPart LocalCode Rules .
> .
OutParameters = <= .
               = '=>' Parameters .
\geqParameters = <= .
```


```
= Ident ':=' Expr ',' NamedExprs .
> .
Exprs2 = <
               = '..'
                = '..' ',' .
                = Expr ',' Exprs2 .
               = NamedExprs2 .
> .
NamedExprs2 = <
               = .
               = Ident ':=' Expr ',' NamedExprs2 .
>.
Expr = <= PrefixExpr .
               = Expr Operator PrefixExpr .
> .
PrefixExpr = <= PostfixExpr .
               = Ident ':' PostfixExpr .
               = Ident ':>' PostfixExpr .
                = Operator PrefixExpr .
                = IncOperator PrefixExpr .
> .
PostfixExpr = <
                = PrimaryExpr .
                = PostfixExpr '[' Exprs ']' .
               = PostfixExpr '(' Exprs ')' .
               = PostfixExpr '(' Exprs '=>' Exprs ')' .
                = PostfixExpr '.' Ident .
                = PostfixExpr '->' Ident .
                = PostfixExpr 'ˆ' .
                = PostfixExpr IncOperator .
> .
PrimaryExpert = <
                = Ident .
                = NIL .
                = ' ' .
                = Number .
                = String .
                = Ident '::' Ident .
                = ' { ' \nTargetCodes2 ' }'.= '(' Expr ')' .
> .
Statements = <
                = \alpha= Statements Expr ';' .
               = Statements Expr ':=' Expr ';' .
               = Statements REJECT .
               = Statements FAIL .
                = Statements NL .
                = Statements Declarations ';' .
                = Statements '{' TargetCodes2 '}' ';' .
               = Statements ';' .
>.
TargetCodes2 = <= \Box= TargetCodes2 Name Space '::' Space Ident .
               = TargetCodes2 Name Space '::' Space .
               = TargetCodes2 Name Space .
               = TargetCodes2 '::' .
               = TargetCodes2 TargetCode2 .
```


```
= '+'.
                = '-' .
                = '/' .
                 = \prime < \prime .
                = ' <<' \cdot= \prime <= \prime .
                = ' \langle \rangle' .
                = ' =' .
                = ' ==' .
                = '>' .
                 = '>=' .
                 = '>>' .
                 = '|' .
                 = '||' .
                 = '\tilde{ }' .
                = AND .
                = DIV .
                = IN.
                = MOD.
                = NOT.
                = OR .
                = '\' Characters WhiteSpace .
>.
IncOperator : <
                = '++' .
                = '--' .
> .
Comment : '/*' Characters '*/' .
Characters : <
                = .
                = Characters Character .
> .
/* replacements */
' \ldots' : \leq \leq ' \ldots' \ldots > .
'?' : < = ':-' \t, > .
```
#### **Appendix 2: Examples from MiniLAX**

The following examples are taken from a compiler for the demo language MiniLAX. The complete MiniLAX example can be found in [Gro90]:

The first part contains the abstract syntax of the language and the output attributes which are assumed to be computed by a preceding semantic analysis phase. This information describes the structure of the input to a *puma* generated transformer. It is written in the input language of *ast*.

The second part specifies the generation of intermediate code. The abstract syntax tree is mapped to I-Code which is a subset of P-Code.

The third part specifies routines to handle types. Types are internally represented by trees. The routines are used by the semantic analysis phase which is implemented by an attribute grammar.

#### **Appendix 2.1: Abstract Syntax**

```
MODULE AbstractSyntax /* ------------------------------------------ */
TREE EXPORT {
# include "Idents.h"
# include "Positions.h"
}
GLOBAL {
# include "Idents.h"
# include "Positions.h"
# include <stdio.h>
}
EVAL Semantics
PROPERTY INPUT
RULE
MinilAX = Proc.Decls = \ltNobel = .
  Decl = Next: Decls REV [Ident: tIdent] [Pos: tPosition] <
    Var = Type .<br>Proc = Formal
             = Formals Decls Stats.
  \geq.
\geq.
Formals = <NoFormal = .Formal = Next: Formals REV [Ident: tIdent] [Pos: tPosition] Type .
\geq.
Type = <
  Integer = .
  Real = .Boolean = \cdot<br>Array = Type OUT
  Array = Type OUT [Lwb] [Upb] [Pos: tPosition] .
  Ref = Type OUT .
  NoType = .
  ErrorType = .\geq.
Stats = <NoStat = .
```

```
Stat = Next: Stats REV <
    Assign = Adr Expr [Pos: tPosition] .
    Call = Actuals [Ident: tIdent] [Pos: tPosition] .
    If = Expr Then: Stats Else: Stats .
    While = Expr Stats .
    Read = Adr.Write = Expr .
  >.
>.
Actuals = <
  NoActual = [Pos: tPosition OUT] .
  Actual = Next: Actuals REV Expr .
>.
Expr = [Pos: tPosition]Binary = Lop: Expr Rop: Expr [Operator: short] .
  Unary = Expr [Operator: short] .
  IntConst = [Value OUT] .
  RealConst = [Value: double OUT] .
  BoolConst = [Value: bool OUT] .
  Adr = <
   Index = Adr Expr .
   Ident = [Ident: tIdent] .
  >.
>.
Coercions = <
 NoCoercion = .
  Coercion = Next: Coercions OUT <
    Content = . \hspace{1cm} /* fetch contents of location \hspace{1cm} */
    IntToReal = . \frac{1}{2} /* convert integer value to real */
  >.
>.
END AbstractSyntax
MODULE Output /* -------------------------------------------------- */
PROPERTY OUTPUT
DECLARE
  Formals Decls = [Decls: tObjects THREAD] .
  Call Ident = [Object: tObjects] [level: short] .
  If While = [Label1] [Label2] .
  Read Write Binary = [TypeCode: short] .
  Expr = Type Co: Coercions.Index = type: Type .
```
END Output

#### **Appendix 2.2: Generation of Intermediate Code**

```
TRAFO ICode TREE Tree Definitions PUBLIC Code
EXTERN
   ADD BoolType CHK ENT Emit EmitReal FJP FLT FalseCode INV IXA IntType JMP JSR
   LDA LDC LDI LES MST MUL REA RET RealType STI SUB TrueCode TypeSize WRI
GLOBAL {
# include "Tree.h"
# include "Definitions.h"
# include "Types.h"
# include "ICodeInter.h"
}
PROCEDURE Code (t: Tree)
MiniLax (Proc) ?
       Code (Proc);
        .
Proc (Next := Next:Decls (Proc3 (ParSize := ParSize, DataSize := DataSize), ..),
                Decls := Decls, Stats := Stats) ?
        Emit (ENT, DataSize - ParSize, 0);
        Code (Stats);
        Emit (RET, 0, 0);
        Code (Decls);
        Code (Next);
        .
Var (Next := Next) ?
       Code (Next);
        .
Assign (Next, Adr, Expr, _) ?
        Code (Adr); Code (Adr::Co);
        Code (Expr); Code (Expr::Co);
        Emit (STI, 0, 0);
        Code (Next);
        .
Call (Next, Actuals, _{-1}, Proc3 (Level := Level, Label := Label,
                ParSize := ParSize), level) ?
        Emit (MST, level - Level, 0);
        Code (Actuals);
        Emit (JSR, ParSize - 3, Label);
        Code (Next);
        .
If (Next, Expr, Then, Else, Label1, Label2) ?
        Code (Expr); Code (Expr::Co);
        Emit (FJP, Label1, 0);
        Code (Then);
        Emit (JMP, Label2, 0);
        Code (Else);
        Code (Next);
        .
While (Next, Expr, Stats, Label1, Label2) ?
        Emit (JMP, Label2, 0);
        Code (Stats);
        Code (Expr); Code (Expr::Co);
        Emit (INV, 0, 0);
        Emit (FJP, Label1, 0);
        Code (Next);
        .
```

```
Read (Next, Adr, TypeCode) ?
        Code (Adr); Code (Adr::Co);
        Emit (REA, TypeCode, 0);
        Emit (STI, 0, 0);
        Code (Next);
        .
Write (Next, Expr, TypeCode) ?
        Code (Expr); Code (Expr::Co);
        Emit (WRI, TypeCode, 0);
        Code (Next);
        .
Actual (Next, Expr) ?
        Code (Expr); Code (Expr::Co);
        Code (Next);
        .
Binary (\_, \_, \_, \_) Lop, Rop, {Times}, TypeCode) ?
        Code (Lop); Code (Lop::Co);
        Code (Rop); Code (Rop::Co);
        Emit (MUL, TypeCode, 0);
        .
Binary (\_ \, \_ \, \_ \, \_ \, Lop, Rop, \{Plus\}, TypeCode) ?
        Code (Lop); Code (Lop::Co);
        Code (Rop); Code (Rop::Co);
        Emit (ADD, TypeCode, 0);
        .
Binary (\_, \_, \_, \_ Lop, Rop, {Less}, TypeCode) ?
        Code (Lop); Code (Lop::Co);
        Code (Rop); Code (Rop::Co);
        Emit (LES, TypeCode, 0);
        .
Unary (Expr := Expr) ?
        Code (Expr); Code (Expr::Co);
        Emit (INV, 0, 0);.
IntConst (Value := Value ) ? Emit (LDC, IntType, Value); .
RealConst (Value := Value ) ? EmitReal (LDC, RealType, Value); .
BoolConst (Value := {true} ) ? Emit (LDC, BoolType, TrueCode); .
BoolConst (Value := {false}) ? Emit (LDC, BoolType, FalseCode); .
Index (\_, \_, \_, \_) Adr, Expr, Array (Type, Lwb, Upb, \_)) ?
        Code (Adr); Code (Adr::Co);
        Code (Expr); Code (Expr::Co);
        Emit (CHK, Lwb, Upb);
        Emit (LDC, IntType, Lwb);
        Emit (SUB, IntType, 0);
        Emit (IXA, TypeSize (Type), 0);
        .
Ident (\_, \_, \_, \_) Ident, Var3 (Level := Level, Offset := Offset), level) ?
        Emit (LDA, level - Level, Offset);
        .
Content (Next) ?
        Emit (LDI, 0, 0);
        Code (Next);
        .
IntToReal (Next) ?
        Emit (FLT, 0, 0);
        Code (Next);
        .
```
# **Appendix 2.3: Procedures for Type Handling**

TRAFO Types PUBLIC



```
t RETURN t ?.
FUNCTION Reduce1 (Type) Type
  Ref (t) RETURN t ?.
  t RETURN t ?.
FUNCTION RefLevel (Type) int
  Ref (t) RETURN RefLevel (t) + 1 ?.
            RETURN 0 ?.
PREDICATE IsSimpleType (Type)
  Array ? FAIL; .
            _ ?.
PREDICATE IsCompatible (Type, Type)
  Integer , Integer ?.
  Real , Real ?.
  Boolean , Boolean ?.
  Array (t1, Lwb, Upb, \Box), Array (t2, Lwb, Upb, \Box);
  Ref (t1) , t2<br>t1 , Ref (t2)
  t1 , Ref (t2) ? IsCompatible (t1, t2); .
  NoType , - ?.
             , NoType ?.
  ErrorType , \qquad ?.
             , ErrorType ?.
PREDICATE IsAssignmentCompatible (Type, Type)
  Integer , Integer ?.
  Real , Real ?.
  Real , Integer ?.
  Boolean , Boolean ?.
  Ref (t1) , t2<br>t1 , Ref (t2)
  t1 , Ref (t2) ? IsAssignmentCompatible (t1, t2); .
  NoType , - ?.
             , NoType ?.
  ErrorType , \qquad ?.
             , ErrorType ?.
FUNCTION ResultType (Type, Type, int) Type
  t:Integer , Integer , { Plus }<br>t:Real , Real , { Plus }
  t:Real , Real , { Plus } RETURN t ?.
  t:Integer , Integer , { Times } RETURN t ?.
  t:Real , Real , { Times } RETURN t ?.
  Integer , Integer , { Less } RETURN nBoolean ?.
  Real , Real , (Less } RETURN nBoolean ?.
  t:Boolean , Boolean , { Less } RETURN t ?.
  t:Boolean , \qquad , \{ Not \} RETURN t ?.
  Real , Nour , .<br>
t:Boolean , Boolean , { Less }<br>
t:Boolean , _ , { Not }<br>
Ref (t1) , t2 , o<br>
t1 , Ref (t2) , o
  t1 , Ref (t2) , o RETURN ResultType (t1, t2, o) ?.
  t:NoType , \qquad , \qquad , \qquad , \qquad RETURN t ?.
            , t:NoType , \qquad , RETURN t ?.
  ErrorType , _ , _ , _ RETURN NoType ?.
            , ErrorType , \qquad , RETURN NoType ?.
  .. RETURN ErrorType?.
PROCEDURE CheckParams (Actuals, Formals)
  NoActual , NoFormal ?.
  NoActual (Pos), \qquad ?
    Error ("too few actual parameters", Pos); .
  Actual (_, Expr (Pos, ..)), NoFormal ?
    Error ("too many actual parameters", Pos); .
```
 $/*$  alternative 1  $*/$ Actual (NextA, Expr (Pos, TypeA, ..)), Formal  $(\_ \, \_ \, \_ \, \mathsf{NextF}, \_ \, \_ \, \_ \, \mathsf{TypeF})$  ? { if (! IsCompatible (TypeA, TypeF)) Error ("parameter type incompatible", Pos); if (! (RefLevel (TypeF) -  $1 \leq$  RefLevel (TypeA))) Error ("variable required", Pos); }; CheckParams (NextA, NextF); .  $/*$  alternative 2  $*/$ Actual (NextA, Expr (Pos, TypeA, ..)), Formal  $(\_ \, \_ \, \_ \, \mathsf{NextF}, \_ \, \_ \, \_ \, \mathsf{TypeF})$  ? ! IsCompatible (TypeA, TypeF); Error ("parameter type incompatible", Pos); REJECT; . Actual (NextA, Expr (Pos, TypeA, ..)), Formal  $(\_ \, \_ \, \_ \, \mathsf{NextF}, \_ \, \_ \, \_ \, \mathsf{TypeF})$  ? ! (RefLevel (TypeF) -  $1 \le$  RefLevel (TypeA)); Error ("variable required", Pos); REJECT; . Actual (NextA, Expr (Pos, TypeA, ..)), Formal  $(\underline{\phantom{x}}, \underline{\phantom{x}}, \underline{\phantom{x$ CheckParams (NextA, NextF); . /\* alternative 3 \*/ Actual (NextA, Expr (Pos, TypeA, ..)), Formal  $(\_ \, \_ \, \_ \, \mathsf{NextF}, \_ \, \_ \, \_ \, \mathsf{TypeF})$  ? CheckCompatible (Pos, TypeA, TypeF); CheckRefLevel (Pos, TypeA, TypeF); CheckParams (NextA, NextF); . PROCEDURE CheckCompatible (tPosition, Type, Type)  $\qquad \qquad$  , t1 , t2 ? IsCompatible (t1, t2); . Pos , .. 2 Prror ("parameter type incompatible" , Pos); . PROCEDURE CheckRefLevel (tPosition, Type, Type)  $\begin{array}{ccc} - & , \text{ t1} & , \text{ t2} & ? \text{ Ref.} \end{array}$  (t2) - 1 <= RefLevel (t1); . Pos , .. ? Error ("variable required" , Pos); . FUNCTION GetElementType (Type) Type Array (t, ..) RETURN t ?. RETURN NoType ?. FUNCTION TypeSize (Type) int Array (t, Lwb, Upb,  $\Box$ ) RETURN (Upb - Lwb + 1) \* TypeSize (t) ?. RETURN 1 ?. FUNCTION Coerce (t1: Type, t2: Type) Coercions Ref (T1) , Ref (T2) RETURN Coerce (T1, T2) ?. Integer , Real RETURN IntToReal (nNoCoercion) ?. Ref (T1) , T2 RETURN Content (Coerce (T1, T2)) ?. Integer , Real RETURN IntToReal (nNo<br>
Ref (T1) , T2 RETURN Content (Coercion ?.

#### **Appendix 3: Equality Operations**

#### **Appendix 3.1: C**



# **Appendix 3.2: Modula-2**



#### **References**

[Gro90] J. Grosch, Specification of a Minilax Interpreter, Compiler Generation Report No. 22, GMD Forschungsstelle an der Universität Karlsruhe, Mar. 1990.

[Gro91] J. Grosch, Ast - A Generator for Abstract Syntax Trees, Compiler Generation Report No. 15, GMD Forschungsstelle an der Universität Karlsruhe, Sep. 1991.

# **Contents**

